



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,782	12/27/2001	Chang Ho Oh	049128-5056	9753

9629 7590 11/21/2003

MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER
----------

ERDEM, FAZLI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2826

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/026,782

Applicant(s)

CHANG ET AL.

Examiner

Fazli Erdem

Art Unit

2826

MW

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 September 0203.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohta et al. (US 2001/0000439 A1) in view of Jenkins et al. (6,437,596) further in view of Haddick et al. (6,536,871) further in view of Yomogihara et al. (5,737,053)

Regarding Claims 1-5, Ohta et al. (US 2001/0000439 A1) disclose a liquid crystal display device with wide viewing angle characteristics where a panel of a liquid crystal display, having a transparent insulating substrate, a gate line which is formed on the substrate, has a double-layered structure including a bottom metal layer and a top indium tin oxide layer, and extend to form a gate pad. A plurality of common electrodes which are formed on the substrate, connected on each other and separated from the gate line. An insulating layer covering the gate line and the common electrodes, a plurality of pixel electrodes which are formed on the insulating layer and are arranged between two of the common electrodes, a data line which is formed on the insulating layer and extends to form a data pad and a switching element having a gate connected to the gate line, a source connected to the data line and a drain connected to the pixel electrode. Ohta et al. (US 2001/0000439 A1) fail to disclose the required pad structure, pad connection structure, and the pad/angle structure. However, Jenkins et al. disclose integrated circuits for testing a display array where the required pad structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Haddick et al.

Art Unit: 2826

disclose a reliable flex circuit interconnect on inkjet print cartridge where the required pad connection structure is disclosed. Finally, Yomogihara et al. disclose a wire substrate having branch lines perpendicular to the main lines in which the branch lines connect to driving circuits on a display device where the required pad/angle structure is disclosed. 0

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pad structure, pad connection and pad/angle structures in Ohta et al. as taught by Jenkins et al. Haddick et al., and Yomogihara et al. respectively order to have a liquid crystal display device with better performance.

2. Claims 11-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeong et al. (6,486,494) in view of Jenkins et al. (6,437,596) further in view of Haddick et al. (6,536,871) further in view of Rostoker et al. (5,565,385)

Regarding Claims 11-15, Jeong et al. disclose a composition for wiring, a wiring using the composition, manufacturing method thereof, a display using the wiring and a manufacturing method thereof. Jeong et al. fail to disclose the required pad structure, pad connection structure and the pad angle structure. However, Jenkins et al. disclose integrated circuits for testing a display array where the required pad structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Haddick et al. disclose a reliable flex circuit interconnect on inkjet print cartridge where the required pad connection structure is disclosed. Finally, Rostoker et al. disclose a semiconductor bond pad structure and increased bond pad count per die where the required pad/angle structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pad structure, pad connection and pad/angle

Art Unit: 2826

structures in Ohta et al. as taught by Jenkins et al. Haddick et al., and Rostoker et al. respectively order to have a liquid crystal display device with better performance.

3. Claims 6-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Baek et al. (6,524,876) further in view of Jenkins et al. (6,437,596) further in view of Haddick et al. (6,536,871) further in view of Huddleston (5,498,767)

Regarding Claims 6-10, Baek et al. disclose thin film transistor array panels for a liquid crystal display and a method for manufacturing the same. Baek et al. fail to disclose the required pad structure, pad connection structure and the pad angle structure. However, Jenkins et al. disclose integrated circuits for testing a display array where the required pad structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Haddick et al. disclose a reliable flex circuit interconnect on in inkjet print cartridge where the required pad connection structure is disclosed. Finally, Huddleston et al. disclose a method for positioning bond pads in a semiconductor die layout where the required pad angle structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pad structure, pad connection structure and the pad angle structure in Baek et al. as taught by Jenkins et al., Haddick et al., and Huddleston et al. in order to manufacture a liquid crystal display device with better performance.

4. Claims 16-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over in view of Baek et al. (6,524,876) further in view of Jenkins et al. (6,437,596) further in view of Rostoker et al. (6,536,871) further in view of Huddleston (5,498,767)

Art Unit: 2826

Regarding Claims 16-20, Baek et al. disclose a thin film transistor array panels for a liquid crystal display and a method for manufacturing the same. Baek et al. fail to disclose the required pad structure, pad connection structure and the pad angle structure. However, Jenkins et al. disclose integrated circuits for testing a display array where the required pad structure is disclosed. Furthermore, Rostoker et al. disclose a semiconductor bond pad structure and increase bond pad count per die where the required pad connection structure is disclosed. Finally, Huddleston et al. disclose a method for positioning bond pads in a semiconductor die layout where the required pad angle structure is disclosed.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required pad structure, pad connection structure and the pad angle structure in Baek et al. as taught by Jenkins et al., Rostoker et al., and Huddleston et al. in order to manufacture a liquid crystal display device with better performance.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.


Application/Control Number: 10/026,782

Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

FE

November 17, 2003



NATHAN J. FLYNN  
SUPERVISOR, PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800